BEFORE

SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2007-286-W/S IN RE: Application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc. for adjustment of rates and charges and modifications to provision of water and sewer service. THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2007-286-W/S DIRECT TESTIMONY OF LENA GEORGIEV

- 1 Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION AND BUSINESS ADDRESS
 2 FOR THE RECORD.
- A. My name is Lena Georgiev. I am employed as a Senior Regulatory Accountant at
 Utilities, Inc., 2335 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062.
- 5 Q. WHAT IS YOUR PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?
- I have been employed by Utilities, Inc. ("UI") since January of 2006. Since that time I have been involved in several phases of rate-making in many regulatory jurisdictions. I graduated from University of Illinois at Chicago in 2000, and I am a Certified Public Accountant. I had four years of public accounting/auditing experience prior to joining UI, am a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants and have successfully completed the utility regulation seminar sponsored by NARUC.
- 13 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN YOUR JOB RESPONSIBILITIES AT UI.

A. My responsibilities include: financial analysis of individual subsidiaries of UI,
2 preparation of rate applications, facilitation of regulatory audits, and the submission of
3 testimony and exhibits to support rate applications.

Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

The purpose of my testimony is to sponsor the application of Utilities Services of South Carolina, Inc., or "USSC," for an increase in its rates for water and sewer services provided in its service area in South Carolina, which was filed with the Commission on August 6, 2007 ("Application").

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE USSC.

A.

A.

USSC is a wholly owned subsidiary of UI and was incorporated on April 1, 2002 for the purpose of owning and operating water and wastewater utility systems in South Carolina. As of December 31, 2006, USSC serves 6,854 water and 376 sewer customers. USSC maintains an operations and customer service office in West Columbia, South Carolina. Customer payments, meter readings and service orders are processed from this office. Administrative functions such as regulatory services, management, accounting, human resources and data processing are performed from the UI office in Northbrook, Illinois.

Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE UI.

UI is unique within the water and sewer industry in many respects. From its inception almost 40 years ago, UI has concentrated on the purchase, formation and expansion of smaller water and/or sewer utility systems. Often, these types of systems have experienced operational or financial difficulties or a combination of both. At the

present time, UI has over 90 systems that provide service to approximately 300,000 customers in 17 states.

Q. DO USSC CUSTOMERS BENEFIT FROM THE COMPANY'S AFFILIATION WITH UI?

Α.

A.

Yes. The affiliation with UI has many benefits for USSC customers. One of the primary benefits is USSC's access to a large pool of human resources from which to draw upon. There are experts in various critical areas, such as construction, engineering operations, accounting, data processing, billing, regulation, customer service, etc. This combined expertise and level of experience is not available in a more cost effective manner elsewhere.

Given UI's focus on water and sewer systems, its personnel have the ability to meet the challenges of this rapidly changing industry. Because of this focus, our companies enjoy some unique advantages, one of which is that capital is available for improvements and expansion at a reasonable cost. With increasingly more stringent health and environmental standards, ready access to capital will prove vital to continued quality service in the water and sewer utility business.

In addition, the UI group of companies has national purchasing power that results in lower costs to rate payers. Expenditures for insurance, vehicles, chemicals and meters are a few examples of purchases where national contracts provide tangible benefits to rate-payers.

Q. WHY IS USSC REQUESTING RATE RELIEF AT THIS TIME?

Under present rates, USSC is not able to meet its operating costs and earn a reasonable return on its investment in the USSC system. It has been over two (2) years

since the Company last applied for rate relief. As reflected in its application for the test year ended December 31, 2006, USSC's return on its rate base was 1.59% and the corresponding return on equity is (5.88%). This return on equity is well below the Company's cost of equity which, as the Commission will hear from the Company's witness Ms. Ahern, is 11.40-12.00%. In addition, as time passes, the need for rate relief will only increase. Without satisfactory rate relief, USSC's ability to continue to provide safe. reliable and efficient water and sewer utility services to its customers will be placed in jeopardy, and USSC will be unable to meet its financial obligations. In addition, capital will become more costly.

10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE COMPANY'S APPLICATION.

In addition to the proposed rate schedule, the Application contains financial statements consisting of a balance sheet, income statements, rate base and rate of return calculation, a test year revenue calculation under current rates, a revenue calculation under proposed rates, and a schedule of current and projected customers. Also included are the most recent approval letters from DHEC and a sample customer bill form.

16 Q. THE APPLICATION ALSO SEEKS APPROVAL FOR A MODIFICATION FOR 17 CERTAIN TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF PROVIDING THESE SERVICES, 18 DOES IT NOT?

- **A.** Yes, but Mr. Haas will present testimony supporting the Company's request in that regard.
- Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PROPOSED RATE CHANGES IN
 THE COMPANY'S WATER RATE SCHEDULE?

Exhibit A of the Application contains the Company's Schedule of Proposed Water Rates and Charges. The Company has proposed to increase all basic facilities charges for water customers as follows:

	Meter Size	Present	Proposed
Residential	5/8"	\$ 14.39	\$ 21.51
Commercial	1"	\$ 35.98	\$ 53.79
	1.5"	\$ 71.97	\$ 107.60
	2"	\$ 115.15	\$ 172.16
	3"	\$ 230.30	\$ 344.32
	4"	\$ 359.84	\$ 538.00

Α.

The Company has proposed to increase the commodity charge applicable to both residential and commercial customers from \$3.91 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cubic feet ("cft") to \$5.83 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft. Additionally, USSC has proposed to increase the commodity charge for distribution only customers, both residential and commercial, from \$2.24 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft to \$3.34 per 1,000 gallons or 134 cft. Bulk charges assessed by governmental suppliers would continue to be passed through to distribution only customers in accordance with existing rate schedule language.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE SUMMARIZE THE PROPOSED RATE CHANGES IN THE COMPANY'S SEWER RATE SCHEDULE?

Exhibit A of the Application contains the Company's Schedule of Proposed Sewer Rates and Charges. USSC proposes to increase the residential wastewater customer's charge from the current monthly charge of \$41.39 to \$46.11 per month. Mobile home customers' monthly rates would increase from \$29.74 to \$33.13. Commercial customers would pay \$46.11 per single family equivalent per month. A Page 5 of 11

collection only customer would pay \$29.68 with bulk charges assessed by governmental suppliers continuing to be passed through in accordance with existing rate schedule provisions.

Q. WERE THE FINANCIAL SCHEDULES ATTACHED TO THE APPLICATION PREPARED BY YOU OR UNDER YOUR DIRECTION?

Yes, the schedules attached to the General Rate Case Application were prepared under my direction and are attached as Exhibit B to the application.

8 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THESE SCHEDULES.

A.

The Financial Statements and related schedules submitted with the application consist of a Balance Sheet, Income Statement, Rate Base and Rate of Return, Consumption Analysis under Present rates and Consumption Analysis under Proposed rates. The test year chosen is the year ended December 31, 2006 which was the most recent twelve-month period available at the time of the Company's filing.

Schedule A is the Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2006. At the end of the test year, USSC had assets of approximately \$11,100,000. This includes almost \$9,200,000 of Net Utility Plant.

Schedule B is the income statement for the test year and is comprised of four pages. Page 1 is the income statement for combined operations; page 2 is the income statement for water operations; page 3 is the income statement for sewer operations; and page 4 is a list of brief explanations for the pro forma adjustments made to the various income statements. With the pro forma adjustments proposed in Schedule B and in my testimony, the Company's operating expenses have increased over \$600,000 or about

29%. since its last rate case. The increase in expenses contributes to the Company's need for rate relief.

A.

Schedule C is the rate base and rate of return schedule at current and proposed rates based upon the per books and adjusted income and expense data and is comprised of four pages. Page 1 is the rate base and rate of return statement for combined operations; page 2 is the rate base and rate of return statement for water operations; and page 3 is the rate base and rate of return statement for sewer operations. Pages 2 and 3 are supplemented by pages 2A and 3A consisting of schedules of plant by categories.

Schedule D is the Consumption Analysis under Present rates, Schedule E is the calculation of revenues under Proposed Rates, and Schedule F demonstrates USSC's current and projected customers.

Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE PROVIDE A BRIEF EXPLANATION OF THE PROFORMA ADJUSTMENTS INCLUDED ON SCHEDULE B?

Uncollectible accounts were adjusted based on the proposed increase in revenues and water and wastewater revenues have been adjusted to tie to test year consumption data at test year rates. Revenues have been annualized at present and proposed rates using the actual number of customers during the test year. Operator and Office salaries were annualized as of December 31, 2006 and have been adjusted to reflect a 3.5% raise increase. Pension & Other Benefits were annualized to match end of test year salaries and wages. Regulatory Commission Expense has been adjusted to reflect the cost of the current proceeding over a two year period. Depreciation and amortization expense was adjusted to reflect the annualized depreciation expense on end of test year plant as well as pro forma additions to plant. Taxes other than income have been adjusted for changes in

the payroll taxes based on current tax rates and annualized salary figures as discussed above. Gross receipts tax and utility commission tax were also adjusted to account for the proposed increase. Income taxes are computed on taxable income at current rates (35% for federal and 5% for state). AFUDC has been eliminated for ratemaking purposes. Interest Expense was synchronized using the capital structure of the consolidated UI group of companies, consisting of a debt / equity ratio of 59.83% / 40.17% and an embedded cost debt of 6.60%. Certain operation and maintenance expenses were increased by the Consumer Price Index for anticipated changes after the test year. Transportation expenses were increased for newly hired employees and associated new vehicles. As well, the Company adjusted purchased water expenses for allowable purchased water costs in six subdivisions that do not receive pass through treatment. Certain expenses relating to fines and penalties have been removed for the purposes of this rate filing. Finally, expenses for maintenance and repair were adjusted to remove recorded amortization of deferred maintenance expenses.

Q. WHAT IS CONTAINED IN SCHEDULE C?

Α.

Schedule C is the Rate Base and Rate of Return Statement. As of December 31, 2006, USSC has a rate base of over \$9,700,000. As indicated on page 1 of Schedule C, USSC earned a 1.59% return on rate base during the test year. This is well below the Company's cost of capital.

Q. WHAT PRO FORMA ADJUSTMENTS ARE REFLECTED ON SCHEDULE C?

Working capital has been calculated at 1/8 of the test year's operating expenses.

A pro forma adjustment is made to working capital to match the pro forma operating expenses. A pro forma adjustment has been made to include actual and estimated Page 8 of 11

- capitalized time. A pro forma adjustment has been made to include pro forma plant.
- Accumulated depreciation has been adjusted to account for general ledger additions,
- 3 capitalized time additions and pro forma plant additions and retirements.

4 Q. WHAT RATEMAKING METHODOLOGY DOES THE COMPANY PROPOSE

5 THAT THE COMMISSION EMPLOY IN THIS CASE?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A.

- The Company proposes that its rates continue to be determined utilizing the rate of return on rate base methodology adopted by the Commission in its last rate proceeding.
- 9 Q. DOES RATE OF RETURN ON RATE BASE TREATMENT CONTINUE TO BE
 10 APPROPRIATE FOR THE COMPANY?
- Yes. The Company continues to have a large rate base and needs to earn a rate of return that is sufficient to obtain the necessary equity and debt capital that a larger utility needs for sound operation.

14 Q. MS. GEORGIEV, DOES THE COMPANY SEEK TO INCLUDE ANY 15 PAYMENTS TO AFFILIATED ENTITIES?

Yes. Included in the Company's test year expenses and included in capital expenditures are payments to Bio-Tech, Inc. Bio-Tech is a South Carolina corporation which, like USSC, is a wholly-owned subsidiary of UI. Bio-Tech's business focuses on two primary services, one of which is sludge hauling and disposal and the other of which is water and wastewater plant maintenance, repair and construction. The Company uses both of these services, although the sludge hauling and disposal service comprises the majority of our payments to Bio-Tech. The sludge disposal portion of Bio-Tech's

- business is regulated by DHEC, which has issued a land application permit for Bio-Tech's disposal site on Old State Road in Lexington County.
- Q. DOES BIO-TECH PROVIDE SERVICES ONLY TO THE COMPANY AND
 OTHER WHOLLY-OWNED SUBSIDIARIES OF UI?
- No. Bio-Tech also serves other public utilities and governmentally owned utilities such as municipalities, counties, special purpose districts and public service districts.
- 8 Q. HOW ARE BIO-TECH'S CHARGES FOR SERVICES TO THE COMPANY
 9 DETERMINED?
- Bio-Tech charges the Company the same rates it charges to any other similarly situated customer whether it is affiliated with the Company or not including governmental customers. The per mile rate and disposal charges paid by USSC is the same as it is for any other customer, whether it is affiliated with the Company or not. Bio-Tech's charges for sludge disposal and water and wastewater plant maintenance, repair and construction are the same for all of its customers, both affiliated and unaffiliated. In other words, Bio-Tech's charges to USSC are at market rates.
- 17 Q. WERE THERE ANY BIO-TECH EXPENSES INCLUDED IN THE COMPANY'S
 18 LAST RATE PROCEEDING?
- 19 **A.** Yes. The rates set in the Company's last rate case included expenditures paid to 20 Bio-Tech. This is also true for other UI operating subsidiaries in South Carolina, 21 including Carolina Water Service, Inc. and United Utility Companies, Inc., which have 22 previously received rate relief.

Q. ARE NOT THE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE COMPANY BY WATER SERVICE CORPORATION AFFILIATE PAYMENTS?

In my opinion they are not because there are no payments involved, only expense allocations. As the Commission is aware from the nearly thirty years worth of rate cases it has considered involving the Company and other affiliates of UI, Water Service Corporation, or WSC, is a wholly owned subsidiary of UI that provides management services to USSC and other operating subsidiaries in the sixteen states where UI has operations. WSC is captive in the sense that its services, which include management, payroll, tax, accounting, procurement services, are only provided to subsidiaries of UI. As the Commission's decisions through the years accepting this arrangement reflect, it is cost efficient since it avoids duplication of these services and functions for each operating subsidiary. This conclusion is tested in each rate case by an audit of the allocations and the records of WSC.

15 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY AT THIS TIME?

16 A. Yes, it does.

A.